

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/690,799	10/17/2000	Jeffry Batio	94841	3187	
24628 75	90 · 11/20/2006		EXAMINER		
WELSH & KATZ, LTD			SHAPIRO	SHAPIRO, LEONID	
120 S RIVERSIDE PLAZA 22ND FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, IL 60606			2629		
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/690,799	BATIO, JEFFRY			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Leonid Shapiro	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spe - Failure to reply within the se	GER, FROM THE MAILING Devailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. the mailing date of this communication. Cified above, the maximum statutory period at or extended period for reply will, by statutifice later than three months after the mailing	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDON ing date of this communication, even if timely file	N. imely filed mely filed communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is F 3) ☐ Since this appli	cation is in condition for allowa	September 2006. Is action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4				
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>16</u> is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s)	re rejected.					
Application Papers						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) Applicant may no Replacement dra	t request that any objection to the wing sheet(s) including the correct	er. cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is of examiner. Note the attached Office	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cite 2) Notice of Draftsperson's 3) Information Disclosure St Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

Art Unit: 2629

Drawings

On 09/11/06 Applicant notes the Examiner's objection to the drawings and plans to file replacement sheets shortly in a supplemental filing.

Specification

On 09/11/06 Applicant notes the Examiner's objection to the Specification and plans to file Substitute specification shortly in a supplemental filing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Limitations of claim 16: "Pivotal display screen rotatably coupled to the primary frame by a mounting arm;

said display screen configured to rotate in at least two planes, each plane being perpendicular to the other;

Art Unit: 2629

the mounting arm having a first end connected to a portion of the primary frame, a second end connected to a portion of said display screen, a first pivot mount disposed at said first end for pivotally mounting the display screen to said primary frame to facilitate movement in one of said planes, and a second pivot mount disposed at said second end for pivotally mounting the display screen to facilitate movement in another of said planes" do not shown in the Drawings or Specification.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear how limitations of claim 16: "Pivotal display screen rotatably coupled to the primary frame by a mounting arm;

said display screen configured to rotate in at least two planes, each plane being perpendicular to the other;

the mounting arm having a first end connected to a portion of the primary frame, a second end connected to a portion of said display screen, a first pivot mount disposed at said first end for pivotally mounting the display screen to said primary frame to facilitate movement in one of said planes, and a second pivot mount disposed at said second end for pivotally mounting the display screen to facilitate movement in another of said planes" could be implemented?

Rejections on merits could not be done due of non-sufficient support in Drawings, Specification and indefinite subject matter.

Telephone Inquire

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid Shapiro whose telephone number is 571-272-7683. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 a.m. to 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

11.14.06

RICHARD HJÉRPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Page 5